# COEFFICIENT BOUNDS AND CONVOLUTION PROPERTIES FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS 
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the class $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ of normalized close-to-convex functions which is defined by using subordination for analytic functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ on the unit disk. Our main object is to provide bounds of the quantity $a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}$ for functions $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ in $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ in terms of $\varphi$ and $\psi$, where $\mu$ is a real constant. We also show that the class $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ is closed under the convolution operation by convex functions, or starlike functions of order $1 / 2$ when $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy some mild conditions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Also let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ denote the subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions which are univalent, starlike of order $\alpha$ and convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{D}$. In particular, the classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}(0)=\mathcal{K}$ are the familiar ones of starlike and convex functions in $\mathbb{D}$, respectively. For analytic functions $g$ and $h$ with $g(0)=h(0), g$ is said to be subordinate to $h$ if there exists an analytic function $\omega$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such
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that $\omega(0)=0,|\omega(z)|<1$ and $g(z)=h(\omega(z))$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The subordination will be denoted by

$$
g \prec h \quad \text { or } \quad g(z) \prec h(z) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{D} .
$$

Note that $g \prec h$ if and only if $g(0)=h(0)$ and $g(\mathbb{D}) \subset$ $h(\mathbb{D})$ when $h$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the class of analytic functions $\varphi$ in $\mathbb{D}$ normalized by $\varphi(0)=1$, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of those functions $\varphi$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and for which $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ is convex. Also, for a constant $\alpha \geq 0$, set $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)=\{\varphi \in \mathcal{N}: \operatorname{Re} \varphi>\alpha\}$.

Ma and Minda [6] and the authors [3] defined the subclasses $\mathcal{K}(\varphi), \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{K}(\varphi)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: 1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \text { in } \mathbb{D}\right\}, \\
& \text { 1) } \quad \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \text { in } \mathbb{D}\right\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and
$\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \exists h \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)\right.$ s.t. $\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)} \prec \psi(z)$ in $\left.\mathbb{D}\right\}$
for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that $f \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ if and only if $z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ if and only if
$\exists g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ such that $z f^{\prime}(z) / g(z) \prec \psi(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$.
For functions $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}$, if $\varphi$ and $e^{-i \beta} \psi$ have positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$, where $\beta$ is some constant in $(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$, then the class $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ is obviously a subclass of close-to-convex functions, in particular, consists of univalent functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Now we recall that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)$, then $f(z)$ is said to be strongly starlike of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{D}$, and we write $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$. If we set $\varphi_{\alpha}(z)=((1+z) /(1-z))^{\alpha}(0<\alpha \leq 1)$, then, from (1.1) and (1.3), we can easily see the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For constants $\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ and $\gamma$ with $0 \leq \gamma<$ $\cos \beta$, we set

$$
\psi_{\beta, \gamma}(z)=\frac{1+\left(e^{i \beta}-2 \gamma\right) e^{i \beta} z}{1-z}
$$

The function $\psi_{\beta, \gamma}$ maps the unit disk onto the halfplane $\left\{z: \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i \beta} z\right)>\gamma\right\}$. Note that $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha) \equiv$ $\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\psi_{0, \alpha}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) \equiv \mathcal{K}\left(\psi_{0, \alpha}\right)$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Note
also that a function in $\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\psi_{\beta, 0}\right)$ is usually called $\beta$ spirallike. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \gamma}=\bigcup_{|\beta|<\arccos \gamma} \mathcal{C}\left(\psi_{0, \alpha}, \psi_{\beta, \gamma}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $0 \leq \gamma<1$. A function in $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \gamma}$ is called close-to-convex of order $(\gamma, \alpha)$ (cf. [2, II, p.89]). In particular, $\mathcal{C} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ is the class of usual close-to-convex functions.

In [3], the second and third authors investigated the norm estimate of the pre-Schwarzian derivatives for the class $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$. In this paper, we shall investigate the coefficient bounds of the class $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ and also give convolution properties of functions in $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$. Here, the convolution or the Hadamard product $f * g$ of two analytic functions

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

on $\mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=f(z) * g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

## 2. Preliminary Results

The following lemmas will be required in our investigation.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\eta(z)=e_{1}+e_{2} z+\cdots$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|\eta(z)| \leq 1$. Then $\left|e_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|e_{2}\right| \leq 1$.

Proof. By Schwarz-Pick's Lemma, we obtain

$$
\frac{\left|\eta^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\eta(z)|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

so that $|\eta(0)|^{2}+\left|\eta^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1$. Hence $\left|e_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|e_{2}\right| \leq$ 1.

Lemma 2.2 (Ma and Minda [6]). Let $\varphi(z)=1+$ $A_{1} z+A_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and let $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ be symmetric with respect to the real axis with $\varphi^{\prime}(0)>$ 0 . If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$, then $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq K\left(\mu, A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2.1) \quad K\left(\mu, A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{2} A_{1}^{2}+A_{1}^{2}\right) / 6 \\
\quad \text { if } 3 A_{1}^{2} \mu \leq 2\left(A_{2}+A_{1}^{2}-A_{1}\right), \\
A_{1} / 6 \\
\text { if } 2\left(A_{2}+A_{1}^{2}-A_{1}\right) \leq 3 A_{1}^{2} \mu \leq 2\left(A_{2}+A_{1}^{2}+A_{1}\right), \\
\left(\frac{3 \mu}{2} A_{1}^{2}-A_{1}^{2}-A_{2}\right) / 6 \\
\text { if } 2\left(A_{2}+A_{1}^{2}+A_{1}\right) \leq 3 A_{1}^{2} \mu .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [8]). Suppose either $g \in \mathcal{K}, h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ or else $g, h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$. Then for any analytic function $G$ in $\mathbb{D}$, we have

$$
\frac{(g * h G)(z)}{(g * h)(z)} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} G(\mathbb{D}) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

where $\overline{\operatorname{co}} G(\mathbb{D})$ is the closed convex hull of $G(\mathbb{D})$.

## 3. Main Results

We begin by proving
Theorem 3.1. $\operatorname{Let} \varphi(z)=1+A_{1} z+A_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be univalent in $\mathbb{D}, \varphi(\mathbb{D})$ be symmetric with respect to the real axis with $\varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$, and let $\psi(z)=1+B_{1} z+$ $B_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+$ $a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq K\left(\mu, A_{1}, A_{2}\right)+M\left(\mu, A_{1}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)
$$

where $K\left(\mu, A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ is given by (2.1) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M\left(\mu, A_{1}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{3}\left(\left|B_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} B_{1}^{2}\right|+A_{1}\left|B_{1}\right|\left|1-\frac{3 \mu}{2}\right|\right) \\
\quad \text { if } A_{1}\left|B_{1}\right|\left|1-\frac{3 \mu}{2}\right| \geq 2\left(\left|B_{1}\right|-\left|B_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} B_{1}^{2}\right|\right), \\
\frac{\left|B_{1}\right|}{3}+\frac{\left(A_{1}\left|B_{1}\right|\left|1-\frac{3 \mu}{2}\right|\right)^{2}}{12\left(\left|B_{1}\right|-\left|B_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} B_{1}^{2}\right|\right)} \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$, from the definition of the class $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ there exists a function $h \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ such that $f^{\prime} / h^{\prime} \prec \psi$. We set

$$
h(z)=z+d_{2} z^{2}+d_{3} z^{3}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots=\psi(\omega(z)) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $|\omega(z)| \leq$ $|z|$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then a simple calculation shows $b_{1}=2\left(a_{2}-d_{2}\right)$ and $b_{2}=3\left(a_{3}-d_{3}\right)-4 d_{2}\left(a_{2}-d_{2}\right)$, so that $a_{2}=b_{1} / 2+d_{2}$ and $a_{3}=d_{3}+b_{2} / 3+(2 / 3) b_{1} d_{2}$. Thus we have
(3.2) $\quad a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}$
$=\left(d_{3}-\mu d_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(b_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} b_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{3}-\mu\right) b_{1} d_{2}$.
By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d_{3}-\mu d_{2}^{2}\right| \leq K\left(\mu, A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\omega(z)=e_{1} z+e_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. Then, from (3.1) we have $b_{1}=B_{1} e_{1}$ and $b_{2}=B_{1} e_{2}+B_{2} e_{1}^{2}$. Since
$1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)} \prec \varphi(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$, Rogosinski's result [7] implies $\left|d_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} A_{1}$. Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{3}\left(b_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} b_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{3}-\mu\right) b_{1} d_{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right|}{3}\left|e_{2}\right|+\frac{1}{3}\left|B_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} B_{1}^{2}\right|\left|e_{1}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+\left|\frac{2}{3}-\mu\right|\left|d_{2} B_{1}\right|\left|e_{1}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right|}{3}\left|e_{2}\right|+\frac{1}{3}\left|B_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} B_{1}^{2}\right|\left|e_{1}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+\left|\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\mu}{2}\right| A_{1}\left|B_{1}\right|\left|e_{1}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\eta(z)=\omega(z) / z$ in Lemma 2.1, we obtain $\left|e_{2}\right| \leq$ $1-\left|e_{1}\right|^{2}$, so that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{3}\left(b_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} b_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{3}-\mu\right) b_{1} d_{2}\right| \leq P\left(\left|e_{1}\right|\right)
$$

where $P(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$ and $a=\frac{1}{3}\left(\left|B_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} B_{1}^{2}\right|-\right.$ $\left.\left|B_{1}\right|\right), b=A_{1}\left|B_{1}\right|\left|\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\mu}{2}\right|$ and $c=\left|B_{1}\right| / 3$. Since $b \geq 0$ and $0 \leq\left|e_{1}\right| \leq 1$, we have

$$
P\left(\left|e_{1}\right|\right) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(-b / 2 a)=c-b^{2} / 4 a \\
\text { if } a<0 \text { and }-b / 2 a<1 \\
P(1)=a+b+c \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus we conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{3}\left(b_{2}-\frac{3 \mu}{4} b_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{3}-\mu\right) b_{1} d_{2}\right|  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq M\left(\mu, A_{1}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, making use of (3.3) and (3.4) in equality (3.2), we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 3.2. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \in$ $\mathcal{C}\left(\psi_{0,0}, \psi_{0,0}\right)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3-4 \mu \quad \text { if } \mu \leq 1 / 3 \\
1 / 3+4 / 9 \mu \quad \text { if } 1 / 3 \leq \mu \leq 2 / 3 \\
\left(16-21 \mu+9 \mu^{2}\right) / 3(4-3 \mu) \\
\text { if } 2 / 3 \leq \mu \leq 1 \\
3 \mu-5 / 3 \quad \text { if } 1 \leq \mu \leq 4 / 3 \\
4 \mu-3 \quad \text { if } 4 / 3 \leq \mu .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark . $¿$ From (1.5) it is clear that $\mathcal{C}\left(\psi_{0,0}, \psi_{0,0}\right) \subset$ $\mathcal{C}$. For the cases of $0 \leq \mu \leq 1 / 3$ and $1 / 3 \leq \mu \leq 2 / 3$, the above estimates agree with those of Koepf [4].

If we take $\varphi=\psi=\varphi_{\alpha}=z+2 \alpha z^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} z^{3}+\cdots$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain

Corollary 3.3. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \in$ $\mathcal{C}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{lc}
(3-4 \mu) \alpha^{2} & \text { if } 3 \alpha \mu \leq 2 \alpha-1 \\
(1-\mu) \alpha^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{3}\left\{2+\frac{(2-3 \mu)^{2} \alpha^{2}}{2-(2-3 \mu) \alpha}\right\}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { if } 2 \alpha-1 \leq 3 \alpha \mu \leq 3 \alpha-1 \\
& \left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1+\frac{(2-3 \mu)^{2} \alpha^{2}}{3(2-2 \alpha+3 \alpha \mu)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\text { if } 3 \alpha-1 \leq 3 \alpha \mu \leq 2 \alpha \\
\alpha\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1+\frac{(2-3 \mu)^{2} \alpha^{2}}{3(2-3 \alpha \mu+2 \alpha)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\text { if } 2 \alpha \leq 3 \alpha \mu \leq 2 \alpha+1 \\
(3 \mu-2) \alpha^{2}+\alpha / 3 \\
\text { if } 2 \alpha+1 \leq 3 \alpha \mu \leq 3 \alpha+1 \\
(4 \mu-3) \alpha^{2} \text { if } 3 \alpha+1 \leq 3 \alpha \mu .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting the relation $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*} \subset \mathcal{C}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$, we would have an estimate for strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$. When $3 \alpha \mu \leq 2 \alpha-1$ or $3 \alpha \mu \geq 3 \alpha+1$, that estimate incidentally coincides with the sharp estimate for strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$ obtained previously by Ma and Minda [5].

Now, by using Lemma 2.3, we investigate convolution properties of functions in $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$. First, we recall results due to Ma and Minda. The following form is slightly different from the original one, so we include its proof here.
Proposition 3.4 ([6]).
(a) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(0)$. For $g \in \mathcal{K}$ and $h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$, we have $g * h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$.
(b) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(1 / 2)$. For $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$ and $h \in$ $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$, we have $g * h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$.

Proof. First, we prove (a). Set $G=z h^{\prime} / h \prec \varphi$. Since $z(g * h)^{\prime}=g *\left(z h^{\prime}\right)=g *(G h)$, from Lemma 2.3, we see

$$
\frac{z(g * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(g * h)(z)}=\frac{(g * G h)(z)}{(g * h)(z)} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} G(\mathbb{D}) \subset \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}
$$

Hence, we have $z(g * h)^{\prime} / g * h \prec \varphi$. Assertion (b) can be shown similarly.

With the aid of the above result, we can now prove the following.

## Theorem 3.5.

(a) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(0)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{N}$. Then, for $g \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$, we have $g * f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$.
(b) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(1 / 2)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{N}$. Then, for $g \in$ $\mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$, we have $g * f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$.

Proof. We show only (a). We can handle (b) in the same fashion. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(0)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{N}$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$, there is a function $h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ such that $z f^{\prime} / h \prec \psi$. Set $G(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / h(z)$. Then $G(\mathbb{D}) \subset$ $\psi(\mathbb{D})$ and $z(g * f)^{\prime}=g *\left(z f^{\prime}\right)=g * G h$. Since $\psi(\mathbb{D})$ is convex and since $z(g * f)^{\prime} /(g * h)$ is analytic, Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$
\frac{z(g * f)^{\prime}(z)}{(g * h)(z)}=\frac{(g * G h)(z)}{(g * h)(z)}
$$

lies in $\psi(\mathbb{D})$, in other words, $z(g * f)^{\prime} / g * h \prec \psi$. Now Proposition 3.4 ensures $g * h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$. Hence we find from definition (1.2) that $g * f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Remark. If we apply the above theorem to the case $\varphi=\psi_{0,0}$ and $\psi=\psi_{\beta, 0}$ for $|\beta|<\pi / 2$, then Theorem 3.5 would immediately yield that $f * g \in \mathcal{C}$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}$ (see [1, Theorem 8.7]).
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